

Wave Functionals, Hamiltonians and the Renormalization Group

D. MINIC and V.P. NAIR

Physics Department

City College of the City University of New York

New York, New York 10031.

E-mail: minic@scisun.sci.ccny.cuny.edu

vpn@ajanta.sci.ccny.cuny.edu

Abstract

We analyze the renormalization of wave functionals and energy eigenvalues in field theory. A discussion of the structure of the renormalization group equation for a general Hamiltonian system is also given.

1. Introduction

The Schrödinger representation of a field theory, using wave functions which are functionals of the field variables, has been known for a long time [1]. Nevertheless, it has rarely been used since other representations are far more convenient for most field theoretic calculations. However, there are many problems, especially those involving changes in ground state properties, for which our intuitive understanding is better served in a Hamiltonian framework and using wave functionals. Analysis of Quantum Chromodynamics in light-cone framework [2], saturation problems in deep inelastic scattering [3] and many problems in condensed matter physics may belong to this category. Wave functionals may also be useful in a variational approach. The most obvious difficulty in using wave functionals in field theory is in understanding their renormalization properties, especially in the modern or Wilsonian interpretation, where we consider how various quantities must change as high momentum modes are progressively integrated out [4]. Actually, some time ago, motivated by application to the Casimir effect, Symanzik analyzed a closely related question [5]. He considered the Euclidean partition function in a space with a boundary and used combinations of Dirichlet and Neumann-type Green's functions to ensure proper behaviour of field variables on the boundary. Renormalization can be carried out by introducing counterterms which are monomials of the field and their derivatives on the boundary, in addition to the usual counterterms in the action. Although the results can be reinterpreted using wave functions [5,6], the analysis is not in the context of partially integrating out high momentum modes. In this paper, we study the change of wave functionals as high momentum modes are integrated out and obtain the corresponding renormalization group (RG) equation. This may also be the first step in alleviating some of the difficulties with variational wave functions in field theory [7].

In the next section we shall consider the theory of a real scalar field since this suffices to illustrate most of the general ideas. In section 3, we show explicitly the computation of the renormalization constants related to the surface counterterms. The RG-equations for wave functionals and energy eigenvalues are obtained in section 4. Although these equations are derived for our example of a scalar field, the structure is quite general and will hold in any field theory. The last section gives a discussion of the RG-equation for a general Hamiltonian system.

2. The reduced wave functional

Consider the theory of a real scalar field $\phi(x, t)$ with Z_2 symmetry with an action of the form

$$S(\Lambda, \phi, t, t_0) = \int_{t_0}^t dx^0 \int d^3x Z_3(\Lambda) \left[\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(m^2 - \delta m^2)\phi^2 \right] + Z_1(\Lambda)\lambda\phi^4 \quad (1)$$

Λ denotes the upper cutoff on the momenta. The wave functions of the theory are functionals of the field ϕ at the fixed time t . We decompose the original field into high and low momentum modes as $\phi(x, t) = \chi(x, t) + \varphi(x, t)$, where χ denotes modes of high momenta (heavy modes), i.e. with momenta constrained to a shell $\mu < k \leq \Lambda$, and φ denotes modes of low momenta (light modes) with $k \leq \mu$.

Integration over the heavy modes generates an effective field theory with a cutoff μ . Of course, this integration entails also the redefinitions of parameters so that the low energy correlation functions are preserved, i.e., they are invariants of the renormalization group (RG) flow. In terms of the wave function, this must produce a low energy wave function $\Psi_{red}(\varphi)$ starting from $\Psi(\phi) = \Psi[\chi, \varphi]$. As we shall see below in explicit calculations, this

reduced wave function has the form

$$\Psi_{red}(\mu, \varphi, t) = U[\mu, \varphi] \int [d\varphi] e^{iS(\mu, \varphi, t, t_0)} \Psi_{red}(\mu, \varphi, t_0) \quad (2)$$

where U is given by

$$U[\mu, \varphi] = \exp i \int d^3x [Z_4(\mu) \varphi \partial_t \varphi + \delta(\mu) \varphi^2] \equiv e^{iG(\mu)} \quad (3)$$

U compensates for possible cutoff dependent surface terms (on the time-slice at t) generated by the integrations over χ -modes. $S(\mu, \varphi)$ is given by Eq.(1) with a cutoff μ instead of Λ . For the wave function, we need new renormalization constants Z_4 and δ . The integration in Eq.(2) is over all values of the fields between t_0 and t , and over the fields at t_0 , as usual.

Now, integration over the heavy modes, at least diagrammatically, means that we allow χ -fields only on internal lines or propagators. Such propagators represent vacuum fluctuations of the χ -field. Hence we can obtain the reduced wave function by treating the χ -modes as a kind of environment to which the φ -modes are coupled, the distribution of the heavy modes in the environment being characterized by a vacuum wave function of the χ -modes, say $\Psi_0[\chi]$. Thus we start with $\Psi(\Lambda)$ which has a representation as in Eq.(2), i.e.,

$$\Psi(\Lambda, \phi) = U[\Lambda, \phi] \int [d\phi] e^{iS(\Lambda, \phi, t, t_0)} \Psi(\Lambda, \phi, t_0) \quad (4)$$

Writing $\phi = \chi + \varphi$, the action splits as

$$S(\phi) = S(\chi) + S(\varphi) + S_{int}(\chi, \varphi). \quad (5)$$

We define a function

$$F(\chi, \varphi, t) \equiv \int [d\chi] \exp i [S(\chi, t, t_0) + S_{int}(\chi, \varphi, t, t_0)] \Psi(\chi, \varphi, t_0) \quad (6)$$

The initial wave function can be assumed to factorize into a χ -dependent term and a φ -dependent term, at least as $t_0 \rightarrow -\infty$; this is essentially the Born-Oppenheimer approximation. $\Psi_0[\chi] \equiv U[\chi, \varphi = 0] F(\chi, \varphi = 0)$ then defines the “vacuum wave function” for the χ -modes. The integration over χ -modes can then be represented on the wave function by $\Psi(\phi, \Lambda) \rightarrow \Psi_{red}(\varphi, \mu)$ with

$$\Psi_{red}(\varphi, \mu) = \int [d\chi] \Psi_0^*(\chi, \Lambda, t) \Psi(\chi, \varphi, \Lambda, t) \equiv \langle \Omega_\chi | \chi, \varphi \rangle \quad (7)$$

This formula defines the procedure of integrating out the high momentum modes and, along with (2), will lead to the computation of the renormalization constants Z_4 and δ .

Consider now the time-evolution of Ψ_{red} as given by Eq.(2). The quantity $U[\varphi]$ involves variables on the time-slice at t and can be interpreted in the operator language as a unitary transformation. Keeping this in mind, the variation of the time-argument in Eq.(2) gives

$$i\partial_t \Psi_{red}(\varphi, \mu, t) = H_{red}(\varphi, \mu) \Psi_{red}(\varphi, \mu, t) \quad (8)$$

where

$$H_{red} = U H(\varphi) U^{-1} \quad (9)$$

and $H(\varphi)$ is the Hamiltonian operator given by the action $S(\mu, \varphi, t, t_0)$; $H(\varphi)$ includes some of the effects of integrating out the χ 's, viz. those associated with spacetime volume integrals. By rewriting Ψ_{red} using Eq.(7), we see that

$$i\partial_t \Psi_{red}(\varphi, \mu) = \langle \Omega_\chi | -H_\chi | \chi, \varphi \rangle + \langle \Omega_\chi | H(\chi, \varphi) | \chi, \varphi \rangle \quad (10)$$

If the χ -modes have momenta very high compared to the φ 's of interest, they cannot be excited by the φ 's for kinematical reasons. We can expand $|\chi, \varphi\rangle$ in terms of a complete set of χ -states and we then see that the above matrix elements vanish except for the case

$|\chi, \varphi \rangle = \Psi_0(\chi)|\varphi \rangle$, i.e., χ -modes have only vacuum fluctuations. Eq.(10) thus gives H_{red} as

$$H_{red}(\varphi, \mu) = \langle \Omega_\chi | H(\chi, \varphi) | \Omega_\chi \rangle - E_{\Omega_\chi} \quad (11)$$

If χ 's are thought of as environmental degrees of freedom, we expect the effective Hamiltonian for φ 's to be $H(\chi, \varphi)$ averaged over the distribution of χ 's, exactly as in Eq.(11). We now see that such a Hamiltonian does indeed generate the time-evolution of the reduced wave function as defined in Eq.(2).

3. Explicit calculation of the reduced wave function

We now proceed to calculate explicitly the reduced wave function as defined by Eq.(7). There will be the usual corrections to the action which depend on the cutoff and which are spacetime volume integrals. Here we are primarily interested in the surface terms which contribute to $U[\varphi]$ and hence to Z_4 , δ . We shall calculate these to first order in λ .

The φ -modes play the role of background fields as far as the calculation of $F(\chi, \varphi)$ is concerned. From its definition, we can write down an equation for $F(\chi, \varphi)$ as

$$i\partial_t F = \left[\int \left(\frac{1}{2} Z_3^{-1} \pi_\chi^2 + \frac{1}{2} Z_3 (\nabla \chi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} Z_3 (m^2 - \delta m^2) \chi^2 \right) + H_{int}(\chi, \varphi) + H_{int}(\chi) \right] F \quad (12)$$

For calculations to first order in λ , we can simplify this as

$$i\partial_t F \approx \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \left[-\frac{\delta^2}{\delta \chi^2} + \omega^2 \chi^2 + V \chi^2 \right] F \quad (13)$$

where $\omega^2 \chi^2 = \chi(-\nabla^2 + m^2)\chi$ and $V = 12\lambda\varphi^2$. V varies slowly in time compared to χ . Thus an adiabatic approximation is suitable and we can use a WKB-type ansatz

$$F = \exp(i(S^{(0)} + S^{(1)} + \dots)) \quad (14)$$

with $\partial_t S^{(0)} \approx \mathcal{O}(1)$, $\delta_\chi S^{(1)} \approx \mathcal{O}(1)$, etc. To the order we are interested in, we get

$$F \approx \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \int \chi(\sqrt{\omega^2 + V})\chi - \frac{i}{2} \int_{t_0}^t dt \int \sqrt{\omega^2 + V} + \frac{i}{4} \int \chi \frac{1}{\omega^2 + V} \partial_t V \chi + \dots \right] \quad (15)$$

The reduced wave function has the form

$$\Psi_{red} = \int [d\varphi] \exp(iS(\varphi)) \int F^*(\chi, \varphi = 0) F(\chi, \varphi) d\chi \quad (16)$$

By expanding $F(\chi, \varphi)$ in a series in V we obtain a linearly divergent surface term

$$\frac{1}{2} < \chi \frac{V}{2\omega} \chi > = \frac{3\lambda}{4\pi^2} (\Lambda - \mu) \int \varphi^2 d^3x \quad (17a)$$

and a logarithmically divergent surface term

$$\frac{i}{8} < \int \chi \frac{\dot{V}}{\omega^2} \chi > = i \frac{3\lambda}{8\pi^2} \log(\Lambda^2/\mu^2) \int \varphi \partial_t \varphi d^3x, \quad (17b)$$

where $< X > = \int d\chi F^*(\chi, \varphi = 0) X F(\chi, \varphi = 0)$. Collecting terms we get to first order in λ

$$iG(\mu) = iG(\Lambda) + \frac{3\lambda}{4\pi^2} (\Lambda - \mu) \int d^3x \varphi^2 + i \frac{3\lambda}{8\pi^2} \log(\Lambda^2/\mu^2) \int d^3x \varphi \partial_t \varphi + \dots \quad (18)$$

For the Λ -dependence to cancel out we need

$$\begin{aligned} Z_4(\Lambda) + \frac{3\lambda}{8\pi^2} \log(\Lambda^2/\mu^2) &= Z_4(\mu) \\ i\delta(\Lambda) + \frac{3\lambda}{4\pi^2} (\Lambda - \mu) &= i\delta(\mu) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

or in other words,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_4(\Lambda) &= c_4 - \frac{3\lambda}{8\pi^2} \log \Lambda^2 + \dots \\ i\delta(\Lambda) &= c_0 - \frac{3\lambda}{4\pi^2} \Lambda + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

The constants c_4 , c_0 depend on the normalization conditions chosen; a minimal choice is to set them to zero. (Symanzik used $c_4 = 1$.)

One can give general power counting arguments, along the lines of ref. [4], to see that the surface counterterms we have introduced suffice to absorb all the Λ -dependence.

4. RG Equation for Ψ

The wave function (3) is independent of Λ , as seen from the explicit computations, or equivalently, Ψ in Eq.(2) is independent of μ when further integrations are carried out. This leads to the renormalization group equation. In what follows, we shall be using reduced wave functions and Hamiltonians; we shall leave this qualification as understood, omitting the subscript *red*. Also we ignore the mass terms for simplicity; they can be restored in a straightforward fashion if desired. Note that $Z_3 \partial_t \varphi$ is the canonical momentum π . We may thus write

$$G = iZ_4 Z_3^{-1} \int d^3x \varphi \pi \quad (21)$$

Consider an infinitesimal change in the cutoff Λ in the action, say $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda(1 - \epsilon)$. After Fourier transformation and rescaling of the corresponding momenta, we find

$$-\frac{dS}{d \log \Lambda} = \int d^4x (x \cdot \nabla \varphi + \varphi + \gamma \varphi) \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi} S - \beta_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} S + \int H dt \quad (22)$$

The origin of the last term ($\int H dt$) is due to the fact that the integration over time is only upto t . In Eq.(22), we have introduced both the β -function and the anomalous dimension γ , defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= -\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial \log Z_3}{\partial \log \Lambda} \right]_{\lambda^{(0)}} \\ \beta &= - \left[\frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial \log \Lambda} \right]_{\lambda^{(0)}} \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where $\lambda^{(0)}$ is the bare coupling constant, defined by $Z_1 \lambda = Z_3^2 \lambda^{(0)}$.

Let us now consider the surface term $Z_4 Z_3^{-1} \int \varphi \pi$. It is easy to see, again by Fourier transformation followed by rescaling of momenta, that $\int \varphi \pi$ is independent of Λ ; the

contribution to the variation of the wave function from $G(\Lambda)$ is thus solely due to $Z_4 Z_3^{-1}$.

In using Eq.(22) we need the partial integration formula

$$\int [d\varphi] \left[\int i(x \cdot \nabla \varphi + \varphi + \gamma \varphi) \frac{\delta S}{\delta \varphi} \right] \exp(iS) = \left[\int d^3x (x \cdot \nabla \varphi + \varphi + \gamma \varphi) \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi} \right] \left(\int [d\varphi] \exp(iS) \right) \quad (24)$$

On the right hand side, the field variables and functional derivative refer to the values on the boundary, i.e., at time t . Using this equation and the Λ -independence of Ψ , we immediately get the renormalization group equation

$$-\frac{d\Psi}{d \log \Lambda} = \left[i \int d^3x (x \cdot \nabla \varphi + (1 + \gamma + \sigma)\varphi) \pi - \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} + iH t \right] \Psi = 0. \quad (25a)$$

or equivalently

$$\left[i \int d^3x (x \cdot \nabla \varphi + (1 + \gamma + \sigma)\varphi) \pi - \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} - t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right] \Psi = 0 \quad (25b)$$

where

$$\sigma \equiv - \left[\frac{\partial(Z_4 Z_3^{-1})}{\partial \log \Lambda} \right]_{\lambda^{(0)}} = \frac{3\lambda}{4\pi^2} + \dots \quad (26)$$

is the new anomalous dimension arising due to the logarithmically divergent surface term.

This result agrees with Symanzik [4].

Strictly speaking, our discussion so far applies to the vacuum wave function. This is because we have neglected the nontrivial φ -dependence and associated properties of the initial wave function in the functional integral formulae. When we discuss excited states, we must have a nonzero number of “particles” in the initial state or they have to be created at some time prior to t which corresponds to the insertion of field variables in the functional integral. Either way, the partial integration formulae are more subtle. Notice that it is $U^{-1}\Psi$ which is evolved by e^{iS} . Thus Eq.(2) should more accurately read as

$$\Psi(t) = U(t) \int [d\varphi] e^{iS(t,t_0)} (U^{-1}(t_0)\Psi(t_0)) \quad (27)$$

The cutoff-independence of the wave functions then leads to the equation

$$(\mathcal{D}\Psi)(t) - U(t) \int e^{iS} U^{-1}(t_0)(\mathcal{D}\Psi)(t_0) = 0 \quad (28)$$

where we have defined

$$\mathcal{D} = i \int d^3x [x \cdot \nabla \varphi + (1 + \gamma + \sigma)\varphi]\pi - \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} + iHt \quad (29)$$

The question is whether we can set $\mathcal{D}\Psi$ to zero. Let us say that Q_α are conserved quantities in the theory which can be used to label the states, i.e., $Q_\alpha \Psi_q = q_\alpha \Psi_q$. Applying $\mathcal{D}$ on this equation, it is easy to see that $\mathcal{D}\Psi = 0$ is inconsistent unless $[\mathcal{D}, Q_\alpha] = 0$. For the spatial momentum P_i , which is conserved in the present case, we see that $[\mathcal{D}, P_i] = P_i$ and we cannot set $\mathcal{D}\Psi$ to zero. From the conservation property, we have

$$p_i \frac{\partial \Psi_p}{\partial p_i} = \exp(-iHt) p_i \frac{\partial \Psi_p(t_0)}{\partial p_i} = U(t) \int e^{iS} U(t_0)^{-1} p_i \frac{\partial \Psi_p(t_0)}{\partial p_i} \quad (30)$$

Combining this with Eq.(28), we have

$$(\mathcal{D}\Psi + c p \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial p}) = U \int e^{iS} U^{-1}(t_0)(\mathcal{D}\Psi + c p \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial p})(t_0) \quad (31)$$

It is consistent to set $(\mathcal{D} + c p \frac{\partial}{\partial p})\Psi$ to zero if we choose $c = 1$. More generally, the RG-equation reads

$$\left[\mathcal{D} + \sum_{\alpha} \left(h_{\alpha} q_{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\alpha}} \right) \right] \Psi_q = 0 \quad (32a)$$

$$[\mathcal{D}, Q_{\alpha}] = h_{\alpha} Q_{\alpha} \quad (32b)$$

Specifically for the case of N particles of momenta $p^{(m)}$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, N$, we have

$$\left[\{ i \int d^3x (x \cdot \nabla \varphi + (1 + \gamma + \sigma)\varphi)\pi \} - \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} - t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_m p_i^{(m)} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i^{(m)}} \right] \Psi = 0 \quad (33)$$

This gives the RG-flow of the wave function. For the special case of energy eigenstates, viz., for

$$\Psi = e^{-i\omega t} \psi[\varphi], \quad (34)$$

Eq.(33) also gives the flow of energy eigenvalue ω as

$$\left[\sum p \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial p} - \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \right] \omega - \omega = 0 \quad (35)$$

The solution to this equation is given, for example for a one-particle state, in terms of an arbitrary function $f(s)$ as

$$\omega = \sqrt{p^2} f(u + \log(p/\mu)) \quad (36)$$

where $du = \frac{d\lambda}{\beta(\lambda)}$. A simple application of this result is to the one-electron state in the BCS theory of superconductivity for which we have $\beta = -a\lambda^2$ for the four-fermion coupling, with $a = mk_F/2\pi^2$ [8]. (Positive a corresponds to asymptotic freedom. m is the electron mass and k_F is the Fermi momentum.) In this case, $f = \log(p/\mu) + 1/a\lambda$, and if one assumes a mass gap, $f(s) \approx e^{-s}$ for small s , so as to cancel the p -dependence, eq. (36) then leads to the well-known relation $\omega = \mu \exp(-2\pi^2/m\lambda k_F)$.

Our derivation of the RG-equations has been in the context of the scalar field theory; nevertheless, it is clear that the general structure of Eqs.(25), (33) and (35) will be obtained in any field theory.

5. RG-equation in Classical and Quantum Dynamics

The basic idea of the renormalization group, viz. progressively integrating out the high momentum modes is very general. It is of some interest therefore to bring out the structure of the RG-equations already in classical dynamics. Consider a Hamiltonian system with phase space variables (ξ^i, χ^i) , where χ 's represent heavy modes or variables

weakly coupled to the “light modes” ξ^i . One can integrate out the heavy modes to obtain an effective Hamiltonian for the variables ξ^i . (A specific, purely classical, context in which this can be concretely realized is in statistical mechanics where a Liouville distribution of χ ’s can be given and they can be integrated out.) The change of the effective Hamiltonian as we lower the cutoff Λ is what we are interested in. We write

$$H[\Lambda(1 - \epsilon)] = H(\Lambda) - \epsilon \frac{dH}{d \log \Lambda} \equiv H + \epsilon V \quad (37)$$

The equations of motion with this Hamiltonian are

$$\frac{d\xi^i}{dt} = (\omega^{-1})^{ij} \frac{\partial(H + \epsilon V)}{\partial \xi^j} \quad (38)$$

where $\frac{1}{2}\omega_{ij}d\xi^i \wedge d\xi^j = \omega$ is the symplectic two-form. In quantum field theory, we seek to preserve the correlation functions as we lower the cutoff. In the present case, what is preserved is the set of solutions to the equations of motion. Thus one should be able to absorb the change due to V as a change of variables $\xi^i \rightarrow \xi'^i = \xi^i + \epsilon v^i(\xi)$, a change of parameters $g^\alpha \rightarrow g'^\alpha = g^\alpha + \epsilon \beta^\alpha$ and a change of scale for the time variable $t \rightarrow t' = t(1 - \epsilon h_H)$. (h_H should be 1 for relativistic theories with identical scaling of space and time variables. It can be different from 1 for nonrelativistic theories where the time variable is scaled differently from the spatial coordinates.) In other words, V should be such that Eq. (38) can be written as

$$\frac{d\xi'^i}{dt}(1 + \epsilon h_H) = (\omega^{-1}(\xi', g'))^{ij} \left[\frac{\partial H}{\partial \xi^j} \right]_{\xi', g'} \quad (39)$$

Expanding to first order in ϵ and using Eq. (38), we get

$$P_V^i + P_H^k \frac{\partial v^i}{\partial \xi^k} - v^k \frac{\partial P_H^i}{\partial \xi^k} - \beta^\alpha \frac{\partial P_H^i}{\partial g^\alpha} + h_H P_H^i = 0 \quad (40)$$

where $P_f^k = (\omega^{-1})^{kl} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi^l}$ for $f = H, V$. P_f^i is the Hamiltonian vector field corresponding to the phase space function f . Eq. (40) is the basic expression of the invariance under

RG-flow. For many cases of interest, such as our field theory example, $v^i(\xi)$ are taken to be linear in ξ^i , i.e., $v^i(\xi) = D_k^i \xi^k = (D\xi)^i$ where D_k^i are constants. We also have constant ω_{ij} with

$$-\omega_{ji} D_k^i (\omega^{-1})^{kl} = D_j^l \quad (41)$$

In this case, we can multiply Eq. (40) by ω_{ji} and simplify to obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^j} \left[V - \beta^\alpha \frac{\partial H}{\partial g^\alpha} H - (D\xi)^k \frac{\partial H}{\partial \xi^k} + h_H H \right] = 0 \quad (42)$$

Thus an RG equation for H would read

$$-\frac{dH}{d(\log \Lambda)} - (D\xi)^k \frac{\partial H}{\partial \xi^k} - \beta^\alpha \frac{\partial H}{\partial g^\alpha} + h_H H = 0 \quad (43)$$

The term involving $(D\xi)^k$ can be written as the Poisson bracket $\{D, H\}$ where D is the canonical generator of the transformation. For example, $D = \int d^3x \pi(x \cdot \nabla + 1 + \gamma + \sigma)\varphi$ for the scalar field theory of Eq. (1). Eq. (43) can thus be written as

$$-\frac{dH}{d(\log \Lambda)} + \{D, H\} + \beta^\alpha \frac{\partial H}{\partial g^\alpha} + h_H H = 0 \quad (44)$$

At this stage, one can also go to the quantum version in the standard way by replacing Poisson brackets by commutators. The resulting equation can also be derived from the compatibility of Schrödinger equation and the RG-equation (25).

We thank R.Jackiw, P.Orland and B.Sakita for discussions. This work was supported in part by the NSF grant PHY 90-20495 and by the Professional Staff Board of Higher Education of the City University of New York under grant no. 6-63351.

References

1. For a nice review consult R.Jackiw's article in *Field Theory and Particle Physics*, O.Eboli, M.Gomes and A.Samtoro, eds. (World Scientific, Singapore, 1990); See also,

- F.Cooper and E.Mottola, Phys.Rev. **D36**, 3114 (1987); S.-Y.Pi and M.Samiullah, Phys.Rev. **D36**, 3128 (1987); R.Floresani and R.Jackiw, Phys.Rev. **D37**, 2206 (1988).
2. R.J.Perry, A.Harindranath and K.G.Wilson, Phys.Rev.Lett. **65**, 2559 (1990); R.J.Perry and K.G.Wilson, Nucl.Phys. **403**, 587 (1993); R.J.Perry, Ohio preprint, OSU-NT-93-117 (to appear in Ann. of Phys.).
 3. A.H.Mueller, Nucl.Phys. **B415**, 373 (1994).
 4. K.G.Wilson and J.Kogut, Phys.Rep. **12**, 75 (1975).
 5. K.Symanzik, Nucl.Phys. **B190**, 1 (1980).
 6. M.Lüscher, Nucl.Phys. **B254**, 52 (1985).
 7. R.P.Feynman, in Proceedings of 1987 Wangerooge Conference on *Variational Calculations in Quantum Field Theory*, pp. 28-40.
 8. S.Weinberg, Nucl.Phys. **B413**, 567 (1994); A.A.Abrikosov, L.P.Gorkov and I.E.Dzyaloshinski, *Methods of Quantum Field Theory in Statistical Physics* (Dover, 1975).